REMARKS

In the Office Action of January 13, 2004, the Examiner identified two groups considered to be separate inventions and requested that Applicant make an election between Group I, Claims 14-17 and 21, or Group II, Claim 20. Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's comments in the above dated Office Action and in response, Applicant has extensively modified the claim set from that originally offered the Examiner for review and evaluation. Further, the Applicant has noted in his review that Claim 21, not 20, refers to a "second computer program" and would seem to be the intended object of the Examiner's Election Requirement. In concert with the Applicant's extensive claim modifications in which both first and second computer programs and central processing units (server and client) have been claimed to refer to and practice in combination with one another, the Applicant has paid particular attention to the Examiner's comments with respect to Paragraph 2 of the above dated Office Action. Most specifically the Applicant respectfully suggests to the Examiner that the combination of first and second computer programs as noted throughout the Examiner's well reasoned Office Action has now been claimed and requires the particulars of the sub-combination wherein Claim 14 does indeed recite the details of Claim 21 and vice versa.

After review of the above comments and amendments to the claims, should the Examiner still require an election of claims to be made, Applicant has elected to prosecute Claims 14-17 and 21 with traverse, and reserves the right to file one or more additional divisional applications.

It is believed that the foregoing is fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action. It is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN

Customer No. 24,118

Phone No. 918-587-2000

Mark G. Kachigian, Reg. No. 82,840

228 West 17th Place

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119 Attorneys for Applicant